

**REMARKS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated January 4, 2005.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings because of informalities. A red-lined photocopy of the drawing for FIG. 2 is submitted for the Examiner's approval.

Further, the Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a). Claims 9 and 10 have been canceled in order to overcome this objection.

Moreover, the Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of informalities. The disclosure has been corrected in order to overcome the objection.

Furthermore, the Examiner has rejected to claims 1-10 as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In addition, the Examiner has stated that claims 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth for this Office action. Claims 10 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 11-18 which are rewritten from original claims 1-8 in order to overcome the rejections.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claims clearly and distinctly patentably define over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402 April 4, 2005